Supraparamagnetic, Conductive, and Processable Multifunctional Graphene Nanosheets Coated with High-Density Fe$_3$O$_4$ Nanoparticles
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**ABSTRACT** The amazing properties of graphene are triggering extensive interests of both scientists and engineers, whereas how to fully utilize the unique attributes of graphene to construct novel graphene-based composites with tailor-made, integrated functions remains to be a challenge. Here, we report a facile approach to multifunctional iron oxide nanoparticle-attached graphene nanosheets (graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$) which show the integrated properties of strong supraparamagnetism, electrical conductivity, highly chemical reactivity, good solubility, and excellent processability. The synthesis method is efficient, scalable, green, and controllable and has the feature of reduction of graphene oxide and formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles in one step. When the feed ratios are adjusted, the average diameter of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles (1.2–6.3 nm), the coverage density of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles on graphene nanosheets (5.3–57.9%), and the saturated magnetization of graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ (0.5–44.1 emu/g) can be controlled readily. Because of the good solubility of the as-prepared graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$, highly flexible and multifunctional films composed of polyurethane and a high content of graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ (up to 60 wt %) were fabricated by the solution-processing technique. The graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ hybrid sheets showed electrical conductivity of 0.7 S/m and can be aligned into a layered-stacking pattern in an external magnetic field. The versatile graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanosheets hold great promise in a wide range of fields, including magnetic resonance imaging, electromagnetic interference shielding, microwave absorbing, and so forth.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Graphene has been receiving great attention recently due to its unprecedented combination of unique electrical, mechanical, thermal, and optical properties within a single sheet of sp$^2$-bonded carbon atoms (1–6). With the unique two-dimensional (2D) platelike structure and the very high specific surface area (2650 m$^2$/g) (7), graphene could serve as an ideal substrate for the deposition of inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) (8–16). For example, Mühlhaupt et al. (8) loaded Pd NPs on graphene nanosheets and applied them as catalysts to the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction. Cao et al. (14) used graphene as an electron-transport matrix to synthesize the graphene-Cds quantum dot nanocomposite and detected a picosecond ultrafast electron transfer process from the excited CdS to graphene by time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy.

In this regard, magnetic iron oxide (e.g., maghemite $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ or magnetite Fe$_3$O$_4$) NPs have been brought into sharp focus largely due to their magnetic properties, low toxicity, and biocompatibility in physiological environments. Decorating magnetic iron oxide NPs on graphene will impart the desirable magnetic property into graphene, making the composite promising for a variety of fields such as biomedical, magnetic energy storage, magnetic fluids, catalysis, and environmental remediation (15, 16). Chen et al. (17) deposited Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs onto graphene oxide (GO) by a chemical precipitation method and investigated the binding of the anticancer drug doxorubicin hydrochloride (DXR) on the hybrid for controlled targeted drug delivery. Yu et al. (18) decorated reduced GO sheets with Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs by high-temperature decomposition of the precursor iron(III) acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)$_3$) and proposed their use as a magnetic resonance contrast agent. Fan et al. (19) reported the attachment of surface-modified Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs to GO by covalent bonding. Ye and co-workers (20) prepared GO loaded with both $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$–NPs using Fe(acac)$_3$ in 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) at 190 °C, while Kumar et al. (21) obtained $\alpha$- and $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs-deposited GO composites at ~150 °C.

Despite the significant efforts, there exist some challenges and problems in the field of graphene/NPs composites: (1) the integrated properties of both graphene and NPs were rarely concerned, (2) the solubility/dispersibility of functionalized graphene nanosheets needs to be improved since the atomic force microscopy (AFM) image of single hybrid sheets has been scarcely reported yet, (3) the composites have rare functional groups for further chemical modification, (4) the preparation protocol is relatively complex, and (5) both size and coverage density of NPs on graphene were hardly controlled.
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To resolve such problems, here, we show multifunctional graphene nanosheets attached with magnetite NPs (graphene@Fe₃O₄) that integrate both the electrically conductive property of graphene and the superparamagnetism of Fe₃O₄ NPs through a facile strategy. Compared with the previous reports, our work shows various advantages. First, the synthesis method of graphene@Fe₃O₄ is simple since the reduction of GO to graphene and the in situ formation of Fe₃O₄ NPs on graphene sheets are accomplished in a one-step reaction. Second, the surfaces of graphene are evenly covered with uniform Fe₃O₄ crystal NPs, and the NPs deposited on graphene sheets are noticeably dense. Third, the growth process of Fe₃O₄ NPs on graphene sheets has been traced by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and AFM observations, showing the mechanism for the dense coverage of NPs on graphene sheets. Fourth, the multifunctional graphene@Fe₃O₄ composites possess both the electrically conductive and superparamagnetic properties, and they have good dispersibility in polar solvents and are feasible for solution processing. The combined properties of graphene@Fe₃O₄ hold great promise in a wide range of fields, including magnetic separation, biological imaging, aligned substrate for nanodevices, electromagnetic materials and coatings, conducting polymer nanocomposites, and so forth.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. Anhydrous iron(III) chloride (FeCl₃, 98 %) and diethylylglycol (DEG, 99 %) were purchased from Alfa Aesar and used as received. Graphite powder (40 µm) was obtained from Qingdao Henglide Graphite Co., Ltd. Iron(III) acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)₃, Acros, 99 %) and palmitoyl chloride (Fluke, 97 %) were used as received. NaOH, N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF), and other organic reagents or solvents were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. and used as received.

Instruments. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis was performed on a FEI/Philips CM200 electron microscope operating at 160 kV or a FEI Tecnai G2 F30 S-Twin electron microscope operating at 300 kV. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken on a Hitachi S4800 field-emission SEM system. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements were done using a Digital Instrument Nanoscope IIIa scanning probe microscope, operating at the tapping mode, with samples prepared by spin-coating sample solutions onto freshly cleaved mica substrates at 1500 rpm. The X-ray diffractions (XRD) were recorded on a Philips X’ Pert PRO diffractometer equipped with Cu Kα radiation (40 kV, 40 mA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed with a RBD upgraded PHI-5000C ESCA system (Perkin-Elmer) with Mg Kα radiation (hv = 1253.6 eV) at a power of 250 W. Near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectroscopy charac-
terizations were performed at the BL08U beamline of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). Raman spectra were collected on a Jobin-Yvon LabRam HR 800 Raman spectroscopic equipment equipped with a 514.5 nm laser source. The magnetic properties were measured using a sample-vibrating magnetometer (VSM, Lake Shore 7410). Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Perkin-Elmer Pyris 6 TGA instrument with a heating rate of 20 °C/min under a nitrogen flow (30 mL/min). Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vector 22 spectrometer (KBr disk). The electrical conductivities of samples were measured using a Broadband Dielectric spectrometer (Novocontrol Turnkey Concept N40).

Preparation of GO. GO was synthesized from natural graphite powder according to the previous reports (22-24), using a modified Hummers method (25, 26) that was originally developed by Kovyukhova et al. (27). The synthesis procedure consisted of two steps of oxidation. In the first preoxidation step, concentrated H₂SO₄ (80 mL) was added into a 500 mL round-bottom flask and heated to 80 °C. K₂S₂O₈ (16.8 g) and P₂O₅ (16.8 g) were added successively under magnetic stirring. Graphite powder (20 g) was then added slowly into the above solution. The mixture was kept at 80 °C for 4.5 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with deionized water and left overnight. Then, the mixture was vacuum-filtered and washed with deionized water (3.2 L) using a 0.22 µm polycarbonate membrane. The solid was dried under ambient condition for 1 day or longer. In the second oxidation step, a 1 L three-necked round-bottom flask containing 460 mL of concentrated H₂SO₄ was chilled to 0 °C in an ethanol-circulated refrigerator equipped with a magnetic stirrer. The preoxidized graphite powder was put into the flask and stirred. Then, KMnO₄ (60 g) was added very slowly under continuous stirring, and the temperature was kept below 10 °C. (Caution: quickly adding KMnO₄ into concentrated H₂SO₄ with poor stirring and bad heat transfer may result in highly explosive manganese (VII) oxide.) The mixture was then placed in a water bath at 35 °C and stirred for 2 h. The mixture was then diluted with deionized water (0.92 L) and stirred for 2 h in the ethanol-circulated refrigerator to keep the temperature below 50 °C. Successively, an additional 2.8 L of deionized water was added, followed by addition of 30 % H₂O₂ (50 mL) causing the color of the mixture to change to bright yellow. The mixture was left undisturbed for 4 days or longer after which the nearly clear supernatant was decanted. The precipitate mixture was put into several 50 mL plastic centrifuge tubes and centrifuged and then washed successively with 1 M HCl solution (at least three centrifugation cycles, centrifugation condition: 10 000 rpm for 3 min) and deionized water (at least five centrifugation cycles, centrifugation condition: 17 500 rpm for longer than 5 min) until the decantate became neutral. The resulting product (GO) was finally stored in deionized water without drying. To determine the concentration of GO in the GO aqueous solution, a certain amount of GO solution was taken and separated by centrifugation, washed with acetone thrice, dried under vacuum at 60 °C overnight, and weighed.

Preparation of Graphene@Fe₃O₄. Typically, NaOH (200 mg) was added into DEG (20 mL), heated at 120 °C for 1 h in a nitrogen atmosphere, and cooled down to 70 °C to produce a NaOH/DEG stock solution (10 M NaOH, 1.0 M DEG). GO (30 mg) was separated by centrifugation from GO aqueous solution (16 000 rpm, 5 min), redispersed in DEG (20 mL), and sonicated for 1 h. Then, FeCl₃ (120 mg) was added and stirred for 1 h. The above mixture was heated to 220 °C for 30 min under the protection of nitrogen flow and constant stirring. A 70 °C NaOH/DEG stock solution (5 mL) was injected rapidly into the above hot mixture. The resulting mixture was further heated at 220 °C for 1 h. The final product of graphene@Fe₃O₄ (conditions: the mass feed ratio of FeCl₃ to GO (RFeCl₃-GO) is 4, the mass feed ratio of NaOH to GO (RNaOH-GO) is 5/3, the reaction time after addition of NaOH/DEG stock solution is 1 h) was separated by centrifugation and washed with ethanol. The experimental data of different feed ratio are given in Table 1.

Preparation of Graphene@Fe₃O₄-C₁₆. Graphene@Fe₃O₄ (2 mg) was dispersed via sonication in 8 mL of dried DMF. After dried triethylamine (0.35 g) and palmitoyl chloride (0.3 g) were added, the reaction was allowed to proceed at 60 °C for 24 h. The product of graphene@Fe₃O₄-C₁₆ was isolated by centrifugation and rinsed with 1 M HCl, deionized water, and acetone. MR Imaging. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) tests were performed on a 1.5 T clinical MRI instrument with a surface coil (Signa Excite HDe, GE Health Care, Milwaukee, WI). Graphene@
One-Pot Synthesis and Characterizations of Graphene@Fe₃O₄ Hybrid Nanosheets. To produce soluble graphene-based nanohybrids, graphene is seldom used as a direct starting material, since pure graphene sheets have rare surface functional moieties and poor dispersibility in solvents. Fortunately, graphene oxide (GO) has been proved to be a suitable substitute for graphene, because it possesses lots of oxygen-contained functional groups and can form homogeneous colloidal suspensions in water and polar organic solvents. Also, large-scale preparation of GO is viable by oxidation of natural graphite with strong oxidants. However, GO is electrically insulating (29) and thermally unstable (30), posing a barrier to its applications. Therefore, it is highly needed to convert GO into graphene by reduction via chemical, thermally mediated, or electrochemical methods (31). Although the reduction of GO is feasible, once GO has been incorporated into composites, it is difficult to completely reduce GO to graphene without causing any disruptions to other parts of the composites. Thus, if GO could be reduced during the synthesis process of hybrids or composites, the protocol will be greatly superior. Thus, if GO could be reduced during the synthesis process of hybrids or composites, the protocol will be greatly superior. Therefore, it is highly needed to convert GO into graphene by reduction via chemical, thermally mediated, or electrochemical methods (31). Although the reduction of GO is feasible, once GO has been incorporated into composites, it is difficult to completely reduce GO to graphene without causing any disruptions to other parts of the composites. Thus, if GO could be reduced during the synthesis process of hybrids or composites, the protocol will be greatly superior. Therefore, if GO could be reduced during the synthesis process of hybrids or composites, the protocol will be greatly superior. The one-step approach is superior indeed. The second approach (2) first preparation of GO, and then loading Fe₃O₄ NPs, and (3) one-pot simultaneous reduction of GO and coating Fe₃O₄ NPs. The first approach may lead to the detachment of NPs from the nanosheets due to the decomposition of organic linkers during the reduction. As mentioned above, the serious problem associated with the second approach is the aggregation of reduced graphene nanosheets, implying that it is very hard to obtain well dispersed single graphene@Fe₃O₄ sheets. Besides the poor effect, the two approaches need two pots/steps of reactions, which is adverse for the cost-effective production of the nanohybrids. Herein, we employ the third approach to fabricate graphene@Fe₃O₄ composites via a one-step/pot reaction through a high-temperature solution-phase hydrolysis process (32). The results demonstrated that the one-step approach is superior indeed.

Considering the green process, we selected FeCl₃ rather than the generally used Fe(acac)₃ as the raw material of iron source. In the reaction, Fe³⁺⁺ ions were first coordinated to Fe₃O₄ was dispersed in DMF by sonication and mixed with the polyurethane/DMF solution. The mixture was then spread onto a piece of glass, dried in an oven at 60 °C for 48 h, and cooled down to room temperature. The glass was immersed into deionized water to allow the strip to peel off the glass. The strip was clamped between two filtered papers and dried in vacuum at 70 °C for 12 h.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### One-Pot Synthesis and Characterizations of Graphene@Fe₃O₄ Hybrid Nanosheets

Fe₃O₄ powders (or GO, etc.) were dispersed in a 1 % aqueous solution of agarose with various concentrations and then poured into 24-well culture plates (Costar 3524, Corning Inc.) at a volume of 3 mL per well. Agarose was allowed to gel at room temperature. The spaces surrounding each well were filled with a certain amount of graphene@Polyurethane dissolved in DMF to form a 125 mg/mL polyurethane/DMF solution. A certain amount of graphene@Polyurethane was dissolved in DMF to form a 125 mg/mL polyurethane/DMF solution. The mixture was then spread onto a 1% aqueous deionized water to allow appropriate image acquisition. A certain amount of graphene@Polyurethane was dissolved in DMF to form a 125 mg/mL polyurethane/DMF solution. The mixture was then spread onto a 1% aqueous deionized water to allow appropriate image acquisition.

#### Preparation of Graphene@Fe₃O₄/Polyurethane Composites

Polyurethane was dissolved in DMF to form a 125 mg/mL polyurethane/DMF solution. A certain amount of graphene@Fe₃O₄ was dispersed in DMF by sonication and mixed with the polyurethane/DMF solution. The mixture was then spread onto a piece of glass, dried in an oven at 60 °C for 48 h, and cooled down to room temperature. The glass was immersed into deionized water to allow the strip to peel off the glass. The strip was clamped between two filtered papers and dried in vacuum at 70 °C for 12 h.

### Table 1. Selected Reaction Conditions with Reaction Time of 1 h and Results for the Synthesis of Graphene@Fe₃O₄ Hybrid Nanosheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R_{FeCl₃}</th>
<th>R_{NaOH}</th>
<th>GO (mg)</th>
<th>FeCl₃ (mg)</th>
<th>NaOH (mg)</th>
<th>D (nm) a</th>
<th>C (%) b</th>
<th>Mₛ (emu/g) c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The average diameter of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles attached on graphene sheets. b The coverage density of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles on graphene nanosheets (calculated from the ratio of the projected area of nanoparticles on graphene to the area of graphene of corresponding TEM images). c The saturated magnetization of the graphene@Fe₃O₄.
The fact that both sides of each graphene sheet are coated with Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. AFM images of other graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ samples with different feed ratio or reaction time (see the Supporting Information, Figure S4) show mostly single sheets, suggesting the individual graphene sheets remain isolated after being loaded with Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs.

The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) measurements show the thick cakes of graphite (Figure 3a) have been exfoliated into thin large flakes of GO (Figure 3b) after oxidation. In the SEM images of graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ with various feed ratios (Figure 3c–e), the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs appear as bright dots, and the large graphene flakes exhibit a slightly wrinkled surface. The side-view SEM image (Figure 3f) of the cross-sectioned edge of a vacuum filtered graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ film shows a layered structure of thin graphene sheets.

The crystalline structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs on graphene was corroborated by XRD measurements. As seen in Figure 4a, the pattern of graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ displayed obvious diffraction peaks of Fe$_3$O$_4$, and the peak positions and relative intensities match well with the standard XRD data for magnetite (JCPDS card, file No. 19-0629). The broad diffraction peak around 23° corresponds to C(002) reflection of...
graphite derived from the short-range order in stacked graphene sheets (12), indicating the reduction of GO in the reaction process (35). With the enlarging of \( R_{\text{feed-FeCl}_3} \), the intensity of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) diffraction peaks become more and more distinct, due to the increasing coverage of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs on graphene. Simultaneously, the C(002) peak gets weakened gradually and cannot be detected for the sample with \( R_{\text{feed-FeCl}_3} = 4 \), indicating that the reduced graphene sheets cannot stack with each other any more to form crystalline structures if the coverage of NPs is densely enough. In other words, individually separated graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) sheets can be obtained in both suspensions and solids.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectrum were used to further identify the crystal phase of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \). In the Fe 2p high resolution XPS spectrum of graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) (Figure 4b), the binding energy peaks at 711.7 and 725.3 eV are corresponding to Fe 2p\(^{3/2} \) and Fe 2p\(^{1/2} \), respectively, which are very close to the values of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) published in the literature (34). It is noteworthy that the charge transfer satellite of Fe 2p\(^{3/2} \) was not detected at around 720 eV, revealing the formation of mixed oxides of Fe(II) and Fe(III) such as \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) (35). In the NEXAFS spectrum at the Fe L absorption edges, the splitting of the L\(_2\) peak in the region of 705–710 eV and the ratio of two peaks at the L\(_2\) edge (719–725 eV) are important features for distinguishing between \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \), \( \gamma \)-\( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \), and \( \alpha \)-\( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \). For the L\(_2\) peak in the region of 705–710 eV in Figure 4c, the splitting was measured to be 1.28 eV, which is close to the value reported in the literature for \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) (36). For the two peaks at the L\(_2\) edge (719–725 eV) in Figure 4c, the left peak is relatively stronger than the right one, which agrees well with the case reported in the literature for \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) (37).

The Raman spectra of graphite, GO, and graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) (Figure 4d) provide the information about the structural changes during the chemical reactions. The G band around 1580 cm\(^{-1} \) is related to the in-plane vibration of sp\(^2\) carbon atoms in a 2D hexagonal lattice, and the D band around 1350 cm\(^{-1} \) is assigned to the vibrations of sp\(^2\) carbon atoms of disordered graphite (38). The intensity ratio of the D and G band (\( I_D/I_G \)) is a useful indicator to evaluate the ordered and disordered crystal structures of carbon. The value of \( I_D/I_G \) is 0.34 for graphite, and it increases to 2.04 for GO, indicating the introduction of many defect sites to GO by the oxidative treatment of graphite. The \( I_D/I_G \) for graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) further increases to 2.13 compared with that of GO, which could be attributed to more numerous but smaller sp\(^2\) graphitic domains created upon reduction of GO (39) and the presence of some unrepaired defects (40). For comparison, the \( I_D/I_G \) values are 1.75 and 2.28 for pure reduced GO samples made by chemical reduction with hydrazine and solvothermal reduction with DEG, respectively (see the Supporting Information, Figure S5).

**Influence of Feed Ratios on the Nanocrystal Growth.** The above characterizations demonstrated the high efficiency of the one-pot approach. To show the controllability and flexibility of this approach, the results of the parallel experiments with different feed ratios and reaction time for the synthesis of graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) were compared. It was found that the amounts of FeCl\(_3\) and NaOH added in the synthesis process are the two important factors that influence the average diameter (D) of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs, the coverage density (C) of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs on graphene nanosheets (calculated from the ratio of the projective area of NPs on graphene to the area of graphene of corresponding TEM images), and the saturated magnetization (\( M_s \)) of the graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \). The TEM images of selected samples are shown in Figure 5, and the corresponding analytical data are shown in Figure 6. As shown in Figure 6a, at fixed \( R_{\text{feed-FeCl}_3} = 2 \) and \( t = 1 \) h, the D, C, and \( M_s \) increase steadily with increasing \( R_{\text{feed-NaOH}} \) and reach the maximum (D \( \sim 6.3 \) nm, C \( \sim 37.0 \) %, \( M_s \) \( \sim 41.0 \) emu/g), because the high alkalinity caused by NaOH favors the quick nucleation and growth of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) (36) (see Figure 5b,c,d, and Figure S2 of the Supporting Information). Figure 6c shows that at fixed \( R_{\text{feed-FeCl}_3} = 2 \) and \( t = 1 \) h, the D, C, and \( M_s \) increase upon increasing \( R_{\text{feed-NaOH}} \) and reach the maximum (D \( \sim 6.3 \) nm, C \( \sim 57.9 \) %, \( M_s \) \( \sim 44.1 \) emu/g). This is likely due to that the elevated concentration of FeCl\(_3\) would accelerate the generation of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) on the graphene substrate and eventually approach a plateau at high \( R_{\text{feed-FeCl}_3} \), which is in coincidence with the TEM observations (see Figures 1, 5e--h, and S1 of the Supporting Information).
The obtained data are also listed in Table 1. Generally, $R_{\text{feed-FeCl}_3}$ has more significant influence on $C$ (13.9–57.9%) than on $D$ (5.2–6.3 nm); $R_{\text{feed-NaOH}}$ has strong influence on both $D$ (1.2–6.3 nm) and $C$ (5.3–37.0%), and both $R_{\text{feed-FeCl}_3}$ and $R_{\text{feed-NaOH}}$ have great influence on $M$ (0.7–44.1 and 0.5–41.0 emu/g, respectively). It can be concluded that (1) the concentration of FeCl$_3$ primarily determines the formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and, thus, mainly affects their coverage densities, (2) the concentration of NaOH primarily determines the growth rate of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and, thus, affects their diameters and coverage densities, and (3) both the diameter and coverage density of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs affect the magnetizations of graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$. The magnetic hysteresis loops of all these samples (Figure 6b,d) exhibit super-paramagnetic characteristics at room temperature with no remanence or coercivity. Consequently, the synthesis strategy allows one to adjust the size of parasitical Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and magnetization of graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ readily in a wide range.

**Kinetics and Mechanism of Graphene-Based Nanocrystal Growth.** A proposed mechanism model for the formation of graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ is shown in Figure 7. With the help of the abundant surface oxygen-containing groups such as carboxyl and hydroxyl, GO is easily suspended in DEG to form a stable colloidal solution by ultrasonication. The iron precursor FeCl$_3$ was then added, and the Fe$^{3+}$ ions were coordinated to the carboxyl groups of GO. Upon increasing the temperature to 220 °C, the color of the reaction mixture turned from light brown to dark brown and then to black, which is a sign that GO has been reduced into graphene (41). The solvothermal reduction of GO in DEG was further confirmed from the Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) and XRD analyses of the samples obtained in the control experiment in the absence of FeCl$_3$ (see the Supporting Information, Figure S6). Upon the addition of NaOH at high temperature, Fe$^{3+}$ ions were hydrolyzed to Fe(OH)$_3$, and then partially transformed to Fe(OH)$_2$ under the reductive surrounding and eventually dehydrated to form Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs (36).

We traced the growth process of graphene@Fe$_3$O$_4$ by offline TEM observations of a series of samples that are taken out from the reaction system at different times. The TEM analysis (see the inset in Figure 7 and Figure S3 of the Supporting Information) reveals that the formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals on graphene was a dynamic process that follows the classic La Mer model (42). In the initial nucleation stage, a temporally discrete nucleation occurred immediately upon the rapid injection of NaOH solution. The quick nucleation helps to obtain magnetite nanocrystals with relatively narrow size distributions (43). In the next growth stage, the nuclei formed in the initial stage quickly grow to larger crystals during the first 5 min after nucleation. The average particle sizes are around 1.6, 3.1, 4.0, 4.4, 4.6, and 4.8 nm at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 min, respectively. According to the Lifshitz–Slyozov–Wagner (LSW) theory for Ostwald ripening, for a system of highly dispersed particles with the diffusion-controlled growth, the rate law is given by

$$\bar{r}^3 - \bar{r}_0^3 = Kt \quad (1)$$

$$K = \frac{8\gamma D_{m} C_{\infty}^{2}}{9RT} \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{r}$ is the mean particle radius at time $t$, $\bar{r}_0$ is the initial particle radius at time zero, $C_{\infty}$ is the equilibrium concentra-
tion at a flat surface, γ is the interfacial energy, \( V_m \) is the molar volume of the solid phase, \( R \) is the gas constant, and \( T \) is the temperature (44). When the experimental data is fitted into eq 1 (see the inset in Figure 8a), the constant \( K \) is calculated to be 21.6 (nm\(^3\)/min). The growth kinetics of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) particles follows the Ostwald ripening, in which smaller particles dissolve owing to their high surface energy and are essentially consumed by larger ones (42). After 5 min, the increase of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) particle size is very slow (5.3, 5.5, 5.9, and 6.2 nm at 10, 15, 30, and 60 min, respectively), and even a 24 h of growth only leads to a particle size of 6.8 nm. The magnetic hysteresis loops of the samples obtained at different reaction times (Figure 8b) show superparamagnetic behaviors, and the change of \( M_s \) (Figure 8a) shows the similar tendency to that of a particle size increase, that is, fast increase within the first few minutes and very slow increase after that (approaching 36.1 emu/g at 24 h).

The NPs supported on graphene sheets reported herein are remarkably dense compared with those in other reported cases of inorganic particles (8–16), presumably due to the different synthesis methods. In our cases, the process of high-temperature solution-phase hydrolysis allows the instantaneous nucleation and quick growth of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) particles. In order to verify this supposition, we conducted control experiments to synthesize \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs on GO via a commonly used high-temperature decomposition method using \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) as the precursor. The formation of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs resulted from the decomposition of \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) as a slow process, leading to graphene sheets relatively sparsely decorated with \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs with coverage density of around 14.0% (see Figure 9a and Figure S7 of the Supporting Information). In addition, control experiments using highly reduced graphene oxide (HRG) (45) as the starting material were also conducted, but only very few \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs were observed on the HRG sheets in the TEM image (Figure 9b). This is because the oxygen-containing functional groups are almost entirely removed from GO by hydrazine in the preparation of HRG, and \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) ions could not coordinate to the surfaces of HRG sheets. The control experiments in turn affirm the necessity of the use of multifunctional GO instead of graphene or HRG as the starting material. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that the existence of carboxyl groups of GO is an essential prerequisite for the formation of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs on graphene sheets, which are confirmed by the following two control experiments. The chemically modified graphene containing carboxyl moiety can also produce graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \), whereas \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs cannot deposit on the chemically modified graphene containing hydroxyl moiety (see Figures 9c,d and S8 of the Supporting Information).

**Solubility and Multifunctionality of Graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) Nanosheets.** It is known that pure graphene and reduced GO without any modification tend to aggregate and could not disperse in most solvents due to the lack of surface moieties (46), which seriously limits their applications (47). Interestingly, our graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) hybrids could be dispersed by sonication in many polar solvents such as ethanol, water, and DMF (Figure 10a). Two main factors are responsible for the improved solubility of graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) as compared to graphene. For one thing, the deposited \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) NPs could prevent graphene sheets from severe aggregation during the reduction of GO. The same phenomenon was also found in the case of platinum NPs deposited on graphene (12). For another, the polyol solvent of DEG can attach to the surfaces of graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) as ligand of NPs after reaction, and enhance the dispersibility of product in polar solvents accordingly. The presence of DEG on graphene@\( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) is confirmed by the thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)
and FTIR analyses of the graphene@Fe₃O₄ sample (see the Supporting Information, Figure S9). About 20 wt % weight loss between 230 and 500 °C was found in the TGA curve of graphene@Fe₃O₄, suggesting the high density coating of DEG on the NP surfaces. XPS measurements showed that the elemental contents of Fe, O, and C for the sample of graphene@Fe₃O₄ were 8.66, 32.15, and 59.19%, respectively. According to the atom ratio, the Fe₃O₄ weight percent is calculated to be around 39.2%. Obviously, the extra-high oxygen content can be mainly attributed to the attachment of DEG molecules, which is in agreement with the TGA result. Such a high content of Fe₃O₄ NPs results in the strong \( M_s(>40 \text{ emu/g}) \) of our graphene@Fe₃O₄ samples. The \( M_s \) of the control sample made from Fe(acac)₃ is less than 10 emu/g (9.4 emu/g) due to the relatively low coverage/content of Fe₃O₄ NPs (Supporting Information Figure S7A). As a comparison, Kumar and co-workers reported that the maximum \( M_s \) of their magnetic samples made from Fe(acac)₃ is only 5.5 emu/g.

Furthermore, the hydroxyl groups of DEG on the graphene@Fe₃O₄ were utilized to react with palmitoyl chloride to produce long alkyl chain-modified graphene@Fe₃O₄ (graphene@Fe₃O₄-C₁₆), resulting in the change of surface property from hydrophilic to hydrophobic. When placed in the water/chloroform mixture, the graphene@Fe₃O₄ dispersed in the upper layer of polar water, whereas graphene@Fe₃O₄-C₁₆ transferred to the lower layer of nonpolar chloroform (Figure 10a), suggesting the successful surface modification. The highly reactive platform of multi-hydroxyl graphene@Fe₃O₄ lays the foundation for introduc-
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**Figure 8.** (a) Dependence of the average diameter \( D \) of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles and the saturated magnetization \( M_s \) of graphene@Fe₃O₄ on reaction time. The inset shows the cube of average particle radius of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles on graphene versus the growth time. The fitting line accords with the LSW model. (b) The corresponding magnetic hysteresis loops of (a).
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**Figure 9.** TEM images of the samples from the control experiments for graphene@Fe₃O₄: (a) using Fe(acac)₃ instead of FeCl₃/NaOH, (b) using highly reduced graphene oxide (HRG) instead of GO, (c) using chemically modified graphene with hydroxyl moiety instead of GO, and (d) using chemically modified graphene with carboxyl moiety instead of GO.
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**Figure 10.** (a) Photographs of 1.5 mg/mL graphene@Fe₃O₄ dispersion in ethanol (A), water (B), and DMF (C) after sonication. The photographs of graphene@Fe₃O₄ (D) and graphene@Fe₃O₄-C₁₆ (E) dispersed in water/chloroform. (b) The photograph of graphene@Fe₃O₄ in DMF separated by a magnet (A), and SEM side-view image of graphene@Fe₃O₄ dried in an external magnetic field (B). Scale bar, 5 \( \mu \)m. (c) \( T_2 \)-weighted MR imaging of graphene@Fe₃O₄ (A), HRG (B), and GO (C) at different concentrations (from left to right in each row: 0, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100, and 200 \( \mu \)g/mL).
ing other functional compositions and desired moieties with tailor-made properties by chemical modification.

**Magnetic Alignment and MR Imaging.** The strong superparamagnetic attribute of graphene@Fe₃O₄ offers significant use for biomagnetic separations, as the carriers for targeted drug delivery and as the contrast agents in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The magnetic components of graphene@Fe₃O₄ render mobility under an external magnetic field. When a magnet is placed beside a bottle filled with graphene@Fe₃O₄ dispersed in DMF, the graphene@Fe₃O₄ particles quickly move along the magnetic field and completely deposit near the magnet (Figure 10b). SEM observations on the graphene@Fe₃O₄ sheets that were separated from the solvent and dried in an external magnetic field showed an aligned layered-stacking pattern (Figure 10b), which is very similar to the vacuum-filtered samples as shown in Figure 2f. Such an example of magnetically induced self-assembly indicates that the water-soluble graphene@Fe₃O₄ could be used in the magnetic array and cell manipulation (48). This result also demonstrates that large-area aligned graphene nanosheets can be produced via magnetic force, which would be a breakthrough for the fabrication of graphene-based electromagnetic devices, transistors, and displays, as aligned carbon nanotubes have shown (49).

Moreover, since the magnetic iron oxide NPs are now established as MRI contrast agents in current MRI techniques, we also performed MRI experiments on a 1.5 T clinical imaging system to test the feasibility of the use of the superparamagnetic graphene@Fe₃O₄ as a novel contrast agent. The T₂-weight MR imaging of graphene@Fe₃O₄ shows strong MR relaxation enhancement (Figure 10c), promising its potential in MRI technology. As a comparison, no enhancement was observed for the samples containing neat GO or HRG.

**Conductive and Magnetic Polymer Composites of Graphene@Fe₃O₄.** The electrical conductivity is a pivotal property of graphene. Chemical treatment could improve its solubility but also may destroy the structure of graphene, usually leading to the vanishing of conductivity. Therefore, a big question appears: is the graphene@Fe₃O₄ still conductive? To our delight, the graphene@Fe₃O₄ film made by vacuum-filtering was demonstrated to be electrically conductive (~0.7 S/m). The insulative GO has been reduced to graphene via solvothermal reduction and restored the conductivity by recovering the conjugated network (40). Due to the obstruction of Fe₃O₄ NPs between the basal planes of graphene nanosheets, the conductivity of graphene@Fe₃O₄ is lower than that of HRG or modified graphene paper samples (in the range of 10²–10⁴ S/m) (45).

The solubility and versatility of the graphene@Fe₃O₄ sheets pave the way for the fabrication of multifunctional composites by the convenient solution-processing technique. Herein, we produced various graphene@Fe₃O₄-polymer films by solution-phase mixing of graphene@Fe₃O₄ with polyurethane elastomer. The colors of the resulting films turn gradually from transparent to black upon the content of graphene@Fe₃O₄ raised from 0 to 60 wt % (Figure 11a). The composite films have evident magnetic response to an external field and excellent flexibility (Figure 11b). Significantly, the film loaded with nanofillers of graphene@Fe₃O₄ as high as 50 wt % is also quite flexible and can be folded without fissuring. The electrical conductivity of the graphene@Fe₃O₄-polyurethane composites is shown in Figure 11c. The conductivity values of the graphene@Fe₃O₄-polyurethane composites are comparable with those of graphene-polymer composites reported in the literature (50). Therefore, the multifunctional graphene@Fe₃O₄ could serve as a new kind of promising nanofiller to produce high-performance polymer composites and coatings with both electrical and magnetic properties, which are greatly useful in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, microwave absorbing, flexible electronics materials, etc (51, 52).
4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have demonstrated a facile and efficient chemical approach to prepare multifunctional nanosheets of graphene@Fe3O4 with scalable, cost-effective, highly reproducible, and well controllable merits. With Fe3O4 NPs densely coated on the surfaces of graphene, the superparamagnetic and conductive properties are integrated into individual nanosheets, making multifunctional single-sheet nanohybrids practicable. The graphene@Fe3O4 nanosheets can be aligned as large-area layered-stacking films with a magnetically controlled methodology, opening the avenue to extraordinary nanoelectronic devices and materials made of graphene. The graphene@Fe3O4 nanocomposites can be extended to different kinds of multifunctional graphene-based nanocomposites, paving the way for the extensive exploration of graphene with fascinating functionalities. In addition, because of the extremely dense coverage of Fe3O4 on the graphene template, the graphene@Fe3O4 could play the role of precursor of iron oxide nanosheets or iron oxide, casting an optimistic light for achieving other quantum planes built with noncarbon atoms, molecules, or NPs.
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