Deterministic phase unwrapping in the presence of noise
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We present a new Fourier-based exact solution for deterministic phase unwrapping from experimental maps of wrapped phase in the presence of noise and phase vortices. This single-step approach has superior performance for images with high phase gradients or insufficient digital sampling approaching 2\pi/pixel and therefore performs as a fast and practical solution for the phase-unwrapping problem for experimental applications in applied optics, physics, and medicine. © 2003 Optical Society of America
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The ability to determine a true phase map from the principal noisy value of a wrapped phase is essential for many fields in applied optics, physics, medicine, and engineering, including geodesic and military applications that deal with coherent wave processes, such as homomorphic signal processing,\textsuperscript{1} solid-state physics,\textsuperscript{2} speckle interferometry,\textsuperscript{3,4} adaptive or compensated optics,\textsuperscript{5} magnetic resonance imaging,\textsuperscript{6,7} and synthetic aperture radar interferometry.\textsuperscript{8} It is also essential in optical and electron holography,\textsuperscript{9} since any wrapped phase map is defined by its principal value \( \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) = \varphi(\mathbf{r}) + n(\mathbf{r}), \) where \( n(\mathbf{r}) \) is the experimental noise function. Several algorithms for phase unwrapping in the presence of noise have been reported (see, for example, Refs. 10–12 and references therein), but only a few of them are good enough for practical applications (with limitations such as user input, median filtering, computing time, image size, and absence of phase vortices). The main problem that causes many of these approaches to fail is that they are one dimensional, based on column-by-column (raw-by-raw) operation. To overcome this problem, a path-independent solution of the Poisson equation was suggested in Ref. 13 and in an advanced version in Ref. 14. However, in Refs. 13 and 14 it was necessary to postulate appropriate boundary conditions that did not follow from the experiment. In addition, any use of Laplacian operators on digital data requires kernels of at least 3 \times 3 pixels. Hence this approach may fail in the case of strong phase oscillations or undersampled data.

In this Letter we report a new Fourier-transform-based single-step phase-unwrapping procedure with the minimal theoretically possible kernel size of 2 \times 1 pixels. This procedure is immune to noise, path independent, fast, and robust. It is ideal for phase maps with marginal sampling and is free from the boundary constraints required by the solution of the Poisson equation. Phase unwrapping that is unique to an additive constant is realized by the exact Fourier solution through Eq. (3). For digital phase reconstruction we assume only that local phase and noise-related phase jumps do not exceed 2\pi/pixel. This makes sense for strongly undersampled objects. Otherwise, the definition of digitized wrapped phase itself is not single valued. In addition, the unwrapping of statistical noise, exceeding 2\pi/pixel phase jumps (FWHM > 1.5\pi), would change the statistic of the Gaussian noise, and Eq. (1) would not have a unique \( k(\mathbf{r}) \) solution from a physical point of view.

It is known that any differentiable scalar function \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) can be uniquely recovered up to some constant in a simple bounded area \( \Omega \), if the derivatives \( \partial_i\psi(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( \partial_i\psi(\mathbf{r}) \) exist everywhere in this area. However, any direct integration of the derivatives for phase unwrapping results in an unreliable path-dependent solution. Unwrapping it is often complicated by the presence of phase vortices and noise, and, in general, it fails (see Fig. 1b). On the other hand, this problem can be solved in Fourier space. First note two remarkable properties that are valid for forward (F) and inverse (\( F^{-1} \)) Fourier transforms with \( \mathbf{r} \) and \( \mathbf{q} \) vectors operating in real and reciprocal space:

\[
\nabla[\exp(2\pi i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r})] = 2\pi i\mathbf{q}[\exp(2\pi i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r})],
\]

\[
\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 2\pi i F^{-1}[\psi(\mathbf{q})].
\]

The vector identity in Eq. (2a) is trivial, whereas the second vector identity in Eq. (2b), which is valid for any differentiable scalar function \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \), can be derived by component analysis with Eq. (2a). Repeated use of this identity as \( \nabla[\nabla\psi] = \nabla^2\psi \) helps solve the nonholographic phase retrieval problem as well.\textsuperscript{15,16} Next, by applying the \( F \) operator to Eq. (2b) and making its scalar products with \( \mathbf{q}(q_x, q_y, q_z) \) components, we get a new expression for the scalar real or complex function \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) in two-dimensional space:

\[
\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} F^{-1} \left[ F(\partial_r \psi)q_x + F(\partial_q \psi)q_z \right] \right\}.
\]

Here \( q_x \) and \( q_y \) are the spatial projections of the wave vector \( \mathbf{q} \) defined by modulus as \( q^2 = q^2_x + q^2_y \neq 0 \).
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The transform via Eq. (3) is unique up to some constant, since the behavior of function \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) is defined by the Neumann boundary condition for its known derivatives. A unique and nonspoiled Fourier solution via Eq. (3) can be obtained by use of the symmetrization rule. Since integration by Eq. (3) is performed in \( q \) space, the solution appears to be path independent and immune to noise and phase vortices in the real-space image.

To recover the phase with Eq. (3), we need to know only the \( \partial_x \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( \partial_y \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) components of the gradient function \( \nabla_{\perp} \psi(\mathbf{r}) \). Further, for the phase-unwrapping problem we assume that \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) is related to a real noisy function to be retrieved from Eq. (1) as \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \varphi(\mathbf{r}) + n(\mathbf{r}) \), whereas the wrapped phase \( \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) \) is generated by the wrapping operator \( \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) = W[\varphi(\mathbf{r}) + n(\mathbf{r})] \). Notice that the \( x \) and \( y \) derivatives of the real noisy phase and the wrapped phase are identical in \( r \) space, except for a few special single-pixel false lines or pixels where \( 2z \pi / \text{pixel} \) jumps occur because of the digital wrapping for the \( \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) \) phase. Hence, with appropriate correction of the false pixels in \( \nabla_{\perp} \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) \) gradient map it becomes possible to equate the \( x \) and \( y \) components such that \( \partial_x \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \partial_x \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( \partial_y \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \partial_y \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) \) and to recover a phase \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) through Eq. (3). We recommend this effective, robust solution for Eq. (1) that always exists for any on-line phase-unwrapping procedure no matter how complex the phase wrap. However, we shall call it an approximate solution, because for digital image processing it may not provide perfect correction of false pixels (typical error of \( < 2\% \)) in local areas with phase jumps approaching \( 2\pi / \text{pixel} \) (illustrated in Fig. 1d). Therefore for ideal phase unwrapping (an exact solution) it is better to recover the \( 2\pi k(\mathbf{r}) \) function with the \( k(\mathbf{r}) \) integer field with the experimental \( \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) \) function remaining untouched. Indeed, by taking the gradient of Eq. (1), we find \( 2\pi \nabla_{\perp} k(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla_{\perp} \psi(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla_{\perp} \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \), where the only unknown function, \( \nabla_{\perp} \psi(\mathbf{r}) \), can be found from Eq. (1) and rewritten as

\[
Z(\mathbf{r}) = \exp[i(\varphi(\mathbf{r}) + 2\pi k(\mathbf{r}))] = \exp[i\varphi(\mathbf{r})]. \tag{4}
\]

There exists a useful relation \( \nabla_{\perp} \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \Re[\nabla_{\perp} Z(\mathbf{r})/iZ(\mathbf{r})] \) with well-defined complex function \( Z(\mathbf{r}) = \exp[i\varphi(\mathbf{r})] \) free from \( 2\pi \) jumps generated by the phase-wrapping operator. Actually, this is a simple means of constructing the \( \nabla_{\perp} \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) gradient map required by the approximate solution. For the exact solution, constrained only by finite image sampling, our false lines or pixels, generated by the wrapping operator, are described by the vector relation \( 2\pi \nabla_{\perp} k(\mathbf{r}) = \Re[\nabla_{\perp} Z(\mathbf{r})/iZ(\mathbf{r})] - \nabla_{\perp} \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \). Hence, by substituting the known components \( \partial_x k(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( \partial_y k(\mathbf{r}) \) into Eq. (3) through Eq. (4), we recover in a single step the whole field of integer numbers \( k(\mathbf{r}) \) and make Eq. (1) an identity. This exact solution (see Fig. 1c) forms the basis for deterministic phase unwrapping with a Fourier transform through Eq. (3).

To demonstrate the power of this new approach, we consider three very different examples of the phase-unwrapping process, which highlight several aspects of this problem. The first example of a phase map, modeled in Fig. 1, is characterized by phase gradients as high as \( 2\pi / 1.5 \) pixel. Although the traditional path-dependent approach (Fig. 1b) fails to unwrap the phase \( \varphi_w(\mathbf{r}) \) (Fig. 1a), both the exact (Fig. 1c) and the approximate (Fig. 1d) solutions reproduce the original phase image identical to the solution in Fig. 1c. As mentioned above, the single-step approximate solution serves as a perfect guide for any complex phase unwrapping, because it always exists, whereas the exact solution may not be obtained in practice, for instance, because of insufficient digital sampling with gradients greater than \( 2\pi / \text{pixel} \).

Another example of noisy phase retrieval in the presence of rapid phase variations, vortices, and noise is shown in Fig. 2. The wrapped phase (Fig. 2a) contains Gaussian noise with phase jumps as high as \( 1.96\pi / \text{pixel} \). The exact phase solution from Eq. (3) is shown in Fig. 2b. It fits pixel to pixel with the original image used to model the crystal lattice potential. Note that retrieval of a phase map that is \( 512 \times 512 \) pixels usually takes a few seconds on a Dell (500 MHz) personal computer. Our method is also capable of incomplete phase retrieval in the presence of noise jumps that exceed \( 2\pi / \text{pixel} \) or phase discontinuities that are less than \( 2\pi / \text{pixel} \). However, no \( k(\mathbf{r}) \) solution of Eq. (1) in this case is unique, because the wrapping operator distorts the statistics of noncorrelated Gaussian noise.

For most applications it is commonly assumed that a wrapped phase exists within the whole image. However, there is another class of images in which
complex image \( Z(A, \varphi_w) \) is shown in Fig. 3. Although the amplitude information (Fig. 3a) is widely used in three-dimensional tomography, medical diagnostics, and clinical studies, the applied research based on wrapped phase (Fig. 3b) is hampered by the problem of reliable phase unwrapping. The results in Figs. 3c and 3d show that a new approach with an appropriate object mask solves this problem as well. We get both the quantitative phase map (Fig. 3c) and the phase gradient map (Fig. 3d) related to the distribution of the magnetic field in human brain tissue.

In conclusion, we have presented an exact theoretical solution for the phase-unwrapping problem. Our approach requires only three Fourier transforms with a computing time of \( \sim 3N^2 \log N \), where \( N \) is the image size in pixels. It provides a deterministic single-step phase unwrapping in the presence of noise and phase vortices in all cases when the problem may have correct physical formulation. For strongly undersampled images (Figs. 1 and 2) it outperforms other advanced algorithms, because the minimal theoretically possible kernels for derivatives used in our method are only 2 x 1 pixels. We believe that the new concept, exact solution, and algorithm will find applications in optics, physics, engineering, and medicine.
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