Large aperture compound lenses made of lithium
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We have measured the intensity profile and transmission of x rays focused by a series of biconcave parabolic unit lenses fabricated in lithium. For specified focal length and photon energy lithium compound refractive lenses (CRL) have a larger transmission, aperture size, and gain compared to aluminum, kapton, and beryllium CRLs. The lithium compound refractive lens was composed of 335 biconcave, parabolic unit lenses each with an on-axis radius of curvature of 0.95 mm. Two-dimensional focusing was obtained at 8.0 keV with a focal length of 95 cm. The effective aperture of the CRL was measured to be 1030 μm with on-axis (peak) transmissions of 27% and an on-axis intensity gain of 18.9. © 2003 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1556948]

I. INTRODUCTION

A series of \( N \) biconcave lenses can be used to achieve one- and two-dimensional (2D) focusing and imaging at x-ray photon energies.\(^{1–20}\) Cylindrical, spherical, and parabolic unit lenses have been used. These compound refractive lenses (CRLs) have small apertures (submillimeter diameters) but are capable of in-line focusing and imaging at x-ray wavelengths with accompanied intensity gain. The gain is defined as the ratio of the on-axis intensity at the CRL’s image plane divided by the on-axis intensity at the same plane without the CRL.

As is discussed by Snigirev et al., low-Z materials are the best choices for CRLs.\(^{4}\) Indeed, as suggested by Pereira and co-workers, lithium should be ideal.\(^{17–19}\) Two-dimensional focusing and imaging was accomplished by multiple unit lenses made of Al, Mylar, kapton, and Be.\(^{16–16}\) Pereira and co-workers\(^{17–19}\) have built and tested prototypes of Cederstrom’s\(^{20}\) one-dimensional, saw-tooth refractive lenses (or alligator lens) using lithium (Li). The Li saw-tooth refractive lens was tested at 10 keV and yielded a one-dimensional gain of 3 (expected gain was 4.5). In the present submission, individual biconcave parabolic lenses (“coin lenses”) of Li are stacked to form a CRL capable of focusing in two dimensions and achieving a larger aperture (1030 μm) and a higher gain (18.9) than any previously CRL for the source size (<1 mm), source distance (<20 m), and focal length (<1 m).

II. THEORY

Lithium has a larger \( \delta/\mu \) than other CRL materials below 20 keV, and especially below 10 keV with the \( \delta/\mu \) of lithium twice that of beryllium and sixfold larger than carbon (kapton). The decrement \( \delta \) of the real part of the complex index of refraction results from the oscillation of atomic electrons that lead to the absorptive effects, whereas \( \mu \) represents the collision losses in these oscillations that lead to the absorptive effects. The complex refractive index of the CRL material is expressed by

\[
\begin{align*}
n &= 1 - \delta - i \beta. \\
\end{align*}
\]

The decrement \( \delta \) represents the ability of the CRL material to bend x rays, and \( \delta \) is calculated from the real part \( f_1 \) of the complex atomic scattering factor for the forward scattering direction \( f(0) = f_1 + if_2. \(^{21,22}\) Specifically, the decrement is given by \( \delta = (f_1 r_x \lambda^2 p)/(2\pi) \) with \( p \) the CRL atom density, \( \lambda \) the photon wavelength, and with \( r_x \) the classical electron radius \((2.82 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m})\). Conversely, the imaginary part of the complex index of refraction, \( \beta \), is responsible for the absorptive losses in the CRL, and is calculated from the imaginary part \( f_2 \) of the complex atomic scattering factor by \( \beta = (f_2 r_x \lambda^2 p)/(2\pi) \). The linear attenuation coefficient \( \mu \) can be calculated from \( \beta \) by \( \mu = 4\pi\beta\lambda \). Note \( \mu \) can be measured experimentally from which \( \beta \) and \( f_2 \) can be calculated, and then \( f_1 \) calculated from \( f_2 \) via the Kramers–Kronig dispersion relationship, thus allowing the calculation of the decrement \( \delta \) from \( f_1 \).

It is known for visible optics\(^{23}\) that the focal length of a series of \( N \) lenses in contact with each other is reduced by \( 1/N \). Thus, as shown in Fig. 1, x-ray CRLs with short focal lengths have been made using a linear array of \( N \) closely packed biconcave parabolic lenses with an on-axis radius of curvature \( R \) (and physical aperture diameter \( 2r_m \)) made of low atomic number, \( Z \), materials. The resulting focal length is given by

\[
f = \frac{R}{2N\delta}. \\
\]

For a biconcave parabolic lens the total thickness \( t \) of material in the compound system given by

\[
t = N\left( d + \frac{r^2}{R} \right) \\
\]
An application of CRLs is to increase the image intensity of a distant source (e.g., synchrotron). Recall the gain is defined as the ratio of the on-axis intensity at the CRL’s image plane to the on-axis intensity at the same plane without the CRL. Using diffraction theory arguments, the gain of a two-dimensional lens is found to be

$$G = \left( \frac{r_0^2}{4\sigma_h \sigma_v} + f k^2 \delta \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{r_0 k}{\sigma_v} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\delta}{\mu} \right)^2 \exp(-\mu N d)$$

$$\approx \left( \frac{A_s}{A_t} \right) M^2 T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Here, \(k\) is the photon wave number, \(\sigma_h\) and \(\sigma_v\) are the horizontal and vertical dimensions of the source, and \(r_0\) is the object distance. The fraction of average power transmitted through the lens is \(T = 0.43 \exp(-\mu N d)\). The full width half maximum (FWHM) aperture diameter is \(r_a \sqrt{2 \ln 2}\) and the FWHM aperture area of the lens is \(A_s = \pi r_a^2 \ln 2\). \(A_s\) is the x-ray source FWHM area, and via \(f = r_i\) the demagnification \(M = r_0/f\).

As can be readily seen from Eq. (5), there is a strong dependence of the gain on the choice of lens material \((\delta \mu)\). However, the gain is determined both by the parameters of the source and the distance between the source and CRL \((A_x, r_0)\) and the CRL \((N, R)\), and not simply determined by the CRL material. Thus, the gain is not particularly good for comparing CRLs that do not share equivalent source area \(A_x\) and object distance \(r_0\). For a fixed focal length \(f\), the product of transmission \(T\) and attenuation radius \(r_a\) of the CRL is a better parameter for comparing lenses; i.e., \(r_a T = 2 \sqrt{f/\mu} \exp(-\mu d R/2 \delta)\). As \(\delta \mu\) increases the \(r_a T\) product increases, and since lithium has the largest \(\delta \mu\) for solid materials, lithium was used for fabricating the CRL. The unit lenses that we manufactured were biconcave and parabolic with on-axis radii of curvature of \(R = 0.95\) mm. The minimum lens thickness \(d\), i.e., the minimum distance between parabolic refracting unit lens surfaces (as specified in Refs. 10–16) was measured to be \(d = 253\) \(\mu\)m. The lenses were compressed using techniques detailed in Ref. 13. The CRL being studied in this article was assembled with a stack of \(N = 335\) Li unit lenses. For Li the linear attenuation \(\mu\) ranged from 0.8 cm\(^{-1}\) at 5 keV to 0.2 cm\(^{-1}\) at 9 keV and the real part of the refractive index \(\delta\) ranged from \(3.9 \times 10^{-6}\) at 5 keV to \(1.2 \times 10^{-6}\) at 9 keV.

### III. Measurements

Experimental measurements were performed at the bending magnet beam line 2–3 on the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL). This beam line possessed a double-crystal Si(111) monochromator that was capable of delivering x rays from 2400 to 30,000 eV with a \(5 \times 10^{-4}\) resolution. The approximate FWHM source size is \(0.40 \times 1.7\) mm\(^2\). The experimental apparatus is shown in Fig. 3, and is the same as that specified in Refs. 10–16. The x-ray beam size was reduced to approximately \(1.0 \times 1.0\) mm\(^2\) by tantalum (Ta) entrance slits (horizontal and vertical slits) upstream of the CRL. The lithium CRL was fabricated by compression molding technique in a dry environment.
The Li CRL was placed 16.8 m from the source in a goniometer head (not shown in Fig. 3), which could be manually tilted in two axes. The lens could also be translated orthogonally (x and y) to the direction of the x-ray beam. These adjustments maximize the x-ray transmission though the lens by aligning it with the beam.

An x-ray detector (ionization chamber) with a translatable Ta exit slit was used to profile the x-ray beam. The exit slit’s width was adjusted to below 25 μm by using a thin, stainless steel shim. It is likely the exit slit’s jaws are not ideally parallel at these small dimensions. Consequently, the exit slit’s width was minimally >3 μm when jaws appeared to be entirely closed. After adjusting its width, the exit slit was then translated in the x and y directions across the focused x-ray beam. The ionization chamber was downstream of the slits so that it measured the total x-ray power passing through it and, hence, when correlated with the slit’s position, gave the beam’s intensity profile (e.g., Fig. 5).

Various profiles of the x-ray beam along the propagation direction were obtained by placing the exit slit at different positions along the z axis of the x-ray beam. Vertical and horizontal widths were measured by scanning the horizontal and vertical slits, respectively, over the beam at each location in the z axis. The profile of the beam size as a function of distance from the lens was plotted using these measured widths. Figure 4 shows a series of vertical dimensions of the spot of 7.0 and 8.0 keV photons as a function of distance along the propagation direction from the Li CRL.

Table I displays the tabulated, calculated, and measured parameters for the Li CRL at 7.0 and 8.0 keV. The calculated and measured image distances for 7 and 8 keV are in fair agreement. However, the measured gain and peak transmission differ from their calculated values significantly due to lens surface roughness and distorted lens shape.

The measured image spot vertical profile has a 43.7 μm FWHM at 8 keV and is displayed in Fig. 5. The image spot intensity profile is proportional to the transmission T of x
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**FIG. 3.** Sketch of the experimental setup for testing the prototype Li CRL. X rays from a FWHM 400 μm × 1700 μm bending magnet source at SSRL beamline 2–3 traverse 16.8 m through a Ta entrance slit and double crystal monochromator to the CRL entrance. The focused monoenergetic x rays from the CRL pass through a scanning Ta exit slit into a gas ionization detector. The exit slit scan in the vertical and horizontal directions yield the respective vertical and horizontal intensity profiles in the CRL image plane with and without the CRL present in order to experimentally determine the CRL gain.
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**FIG. 4.** Vertical profiles of 7.0 and 8.0 keV x rays focusing along the propagation direction. The minimum vertical FWHM of the image spots are 41.6 and 43.7 μm at 70 and 90 cm, respectively, for the respective 7 and 8 keV photon energies.
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**FIG. 5.** Vertical profile of the 8.0 keV x-ray spot indicating the 43.7 μm vertical FWHM produced by parabolic Li CRL at the image plane. The data are fitted with a solid line Gaussian distribution of 18.6 μm standard deviation and 43.7 μm FWHM about its mean, which is the center axis of the Li CRL.

---

**Table I.** Measured and calculated CRL parameters at 7.0 and 8.0 keV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>7.0 keV</th>
<th>8.0 keV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Object distance (m)</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source vertical FWHM width (μm)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source horizontal FWHM width (μm)</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lenses in the CRL</td>
<td>335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parabolic lens on-axis radius (μm)</td>
<td>950</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated lens minimum wall thickness (μm)</td>
<td>253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon energy (keV)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabulated real atomic scattering factor a</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated refractive index decrement</td>
<td>1.95×10^{-6}</td>
<td>1.50×10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabulated linear attenuation coefficient a I m^{-1}</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated focal length (m)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated image distance (m)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured image distance (m)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated minimum waist diameter (μm)</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured minimum waist diameter (μm)</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated peak transmission (%)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated attenuation aperture diameter (μm)</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured attenuation aperture diameter (μm)</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>1030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated 2D gain</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured 2D gain</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Reference 21.
rays through the CRL and \( T \) varies as \( \exp(-\mu Nt) \). Here, \( t \) is the thickness of the parabolic unit lens (and the x-ray path length through the unit lens) at distance \( r \) from the center axis common to the \( N \) unit lenses comprising the CRL. The measured 8 keV vertical profile in Fig. 5 is fitted with a Gaussian that has an 18.6 \( \mu \)m standard deviation and 43.7 \( \mu \)m FWHM about its mean, the center axis of the Li CRL. The deviation of the measured profile from the calculated Gaussian profile can result from (1) the transverse spatial distribution of the synchrotron electrons in the radiating bunch may not be Gaussian, (2) the angular divergence of the radiating synchrotron electrons may not be Gaussian, (3) the surface roughness of the lenses of the CRL can alter the transmission, and (4) the shape of the lenses of the CRL deviate from parabolic.

The measured FWHM spot size of the image, 43.7 \( \mu \)m is almost twice as large as would be expected from the geometric optics demagnification calculation of 23.8 \( \mu \)m. The latter can be attributed primarily to surface irregularities on the Li unit lenses. The rough surface of the Li CRL unit lens can be modeled as an array of protruding tiny 2D prisms on the unit lens surface. This array of tiny pyramid-shaped protrusions on the lithium unit lens tend to divergently refract the x rays away from the CRL center axis leading to larger FWHM image spot sizes than is expected with a smooth unit lens surface.

The stronger attenuation of the rays that pass through outer radial regions of the Li CRL results in the lens having an aperture with a Gaussian-shaped transmission profile. Since thickness \( t \) of the lens varies as \( r^2/2R \) for the parabolic lens, the intensity transmission of x rays through the CRL varies with distance \( r \) from the CRL center axis as \( T(r) = \exp(-\mu N r^2/2R) \). The transmission at the attenuation radius \( r_a = \sqrt{2R/\pi \mu} \) is \( T(r_a) = e^{-2} \) with FWHM transmission \( T(r_a \sqrt{2 \ln 2}/2) = 0.5 \). At 8 keV the intensity transmission as a function of distance \( r(t) \) from the CRL axis varies as \( T(r) = \exp(-r^2/2\sigma^2) \) with \( \sigma = \sqrt{2R/\pi \mu} \) or \( \sigma = 300 \mu \)m and \( r_a = 600 \mu \)m. The measured transmission across the Li CRL was obtained by translation of the lens along a very narrow 25x25 \( \mu \)m x-ray beam. Figure 6 shows the measured profile of the transmission at 8 keV through the prototype Li CRL with the calculated profile. The Li CRL measured in this manner had a measured FWHM of 523 \( \mu \)m (or a measured attenuation diameter of \( 2r_a = 1030 \mu \)m) and a measured peak transmission of 27%.

The intensity gain of the lens can be an effective measurement of the lens performance.\(^4\) The ratio of the intensity with the CRL in place divided by the intensity without the CRL yields the 2D gain. The 2D gain \( G_e \) was measured to be 18.9 at 8 keV, and the calculated 2D gain from Eq. (5) is 47.7. This discrepancy can be attributed to surface roughness on the lens, which can be modeled as a finite coherence length along the lens surface.\(^25\)

The measured spot size diameter \( D_s \) can be predicted from the measured 2D gain \( G_e \). The calculated spot diameter \( D_i = 23.8 \mu \)m is obtained by multiplying the demagnification \((r_i/r_o) = 0.06 \) with the FWHM 400 \( \mu \)m vertical source dimension. That is, the predicted measured spot diameter is \( D_e = D_i \sqrt{G_i/G_e} \approx 37.8 \mu \)m. Given the actual spot size \( D_m \) measured in the experiment is 43.7 \( \mu \)m suggests a predicted slit width \( W_i = \sqrt{D_m^2 - D_s^2} = 21.9 \mu \)m, which is less than the 25 \( \mu \)m stainless-steel shim thickness used to set the Ta exit slit width and is thus a reasonable value.

Note the intensity gain is source distance, \( r_o \), and size dependent [see Eq. (5)]. If the same lens is placed on a beam line using a third-generation x-ray source, the gain of the CRL can be substantially increased. These sources can possess spot sizes that are a factor of \( \approx 113 \) times smaller (e.g., 0.02 by 0.3 mm\(^2\)). Also, typical distances from the insertion devices to the end stations can be \( \approx 60 \) m, compared to 16.8 m in our experiment. These longer object distances \( r_o \) would consequently increase the gain by a factor of (60/16.8);\(^2 \) i.e., 12.7. For these source and placement parameters, the theoretical gain of Eq. (5) evaluated at 8.0 keV for this experiment’s Li CRL would have been \( 6.8 \times 10^4 \). This gain results in a very sizable increase of the intensity from the case where no lens is utilized.

IV. DISCUSSION

For a specified focal length and photon energy below 30 keV, a Li CRL has a larger aperture than CRLs made with higher Z materials, such as Be, C, Al, etc. Also, higher gains are achievable with this Li CRL at the design energy and focal length than has been previously reported. The peak transmission of the lens, i.e., transmission along the lens axis, was found to be 27% at 8.0 keV. Decreasing the minimum wall thickness, \( d \) can further increase the transmission and the gain. The use of the CRLs at these x-ray energies can have wide application in synchrotron\(^1\)–\(^10\) and novel\(^26\) x-ray sources. For example, such CRLs could improve and enhance x-ray microscopy,\(^9\) x-ray diffraction, and medical imaging\(^27\) techniques. Thus, one can expect compound refractive lenses made of Li unit lenses to have an important future in x-ray optics.
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